

JACK C. ORCHULLI

DARIEN, CONNECTICUT 06820

Secretary of the Commission  
Federal Election Commission  
999 E Street, N. W.  
Washington, D. C. 20463

5 June 07

MUR 5728

RECEIVED  
FEDERAL ELECTION  
COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF GENERAL  
COUNSEL

2007 JUN -6 P 1 54

Dear Commissioners:

Your Office of General Counsel is recommending to you that there is probably cause that I violated 2 U.S.C 434 (a)(6)(H) and 11 C.F.R. 400.21(a) and 400.22(a) requirements (see attachments 11-12). Effectively, we filed certain financial reports late (mostly, the millionaire's 24 hour filing requirement) during my campaign for the United States Senate in Connecticut against Senator Chris Dodd in 2004. While our campaign used electronic filing reports (with Aristotle software), the millionaire's reports were not on that electronic system and were, unfortunately, overlooked by my staff for timely paper filing. All filings were overseen by my campaign manager, our accountant, and our administrative person to avoid mistakes but we all missed this millionaire's filing report.

For the General Counsel to isolate just my campaign's contributions/expenses and ignore all other factors in this campaign does not allow for a reasoned judgment. The facts will readily show that, in the context of the overall campaign, these late filings were not significant and had no impact whatsoever on the outcome of that election and the campaign financial needs of Senator Dodd or anyone else.

Page 3 and attachment 1 show that Senator Dodd raised \$7,097,118, spent \$5,667,015 and had \$2,342,890 on hand as of 31 December 04 with no debt. I raised \$1,474,842, spent \$1,460,367 and had \$14,472 as of 31 December 04. Senator Dodd raised 4.88 times more than me and spent 3.88 times more than me on this campaign. The purpose of the millionaire's amendment is to allow the opposition candidate/s (here, Senator Dodd) the opportunity to raise more funds per contributor once a 'trigger amount' is spend. Clearly, Senator Dodd did not need such financial help during this campaign and my late filings were, thus, insignificant in its effect. The other two minor candidates received a total of 1.5% of the vote, spent no money on their campaigns and were also not affected by my late filings.

28044204094

Page 3 and attachments 2 show that Senator Dodd has been in Federal office since 1975 and before that, his father, Thomas Dodd was a United States Congressman and then Senator. The Dodd name has been in Connecticut politics for over 50 years. I, on the other hand, was a political unknown to both the political party and to the citizens/voters, was a newer resident to Connecticut and had no name recognition. This reality further supports the conclusion that my filing late had no impact on Senator Dodd getting his message out to the public. He was/is a well known entity in the State and people know the Dodd name.

Page 4 and attachment 3 show that the outcome of the election had Senator Dodd with 66.4% of the vote and 33.1% for me (1.5% for others). The significance in this vote is with the Quinnipiac University polls taken during 2004 (Attachments 4-8) which clearly show that Senator Dodd's 'numbers' only improved as the campaign progresses (i.e., his approval rating went from 60 on 20 November 03 to 64% on 20 September 04). The other poll numbers further reinforce this increased approval of Senator Dodd and were borne out by the actual results as stated above. The importance is that almost all of my late filings and personal contributions and expenditures were within this time frame and clearly had no impact on voters.


Page 5 and attachments 9-10 present the relative campaign financings which occurred in Connecticut's Federal elections in 2004 and in 2006. In 2004, there were 2 really contested Congressional races and 3 of the 4 candidates outspent me for their regional race. In 2006, the then unknown U. S. Senate candidate, Ned Lamont, spent over \$20 million (as did Senator Lieberman) and in the 3 contested Congressional races, all 6 regional candidates far out spent what I had spent for a state wide race. If you isolate my \$1.4 million expenditure, it is a lot of money. However, in the context of what is needed for a State wide race and what has been spent by others in Connecticut in recent years, it is not a lot of money.

There was no intent on my part to file late but it was a mistake for which I take responsibility. If the mistake had any repercussions or impact on that Senate race, it is one thing. However, there was no significance or effect caused by the late filings and to penalize me financially would be, in my simple mind, wrong and not justified since the purpose/intent of the filing laws were not impaired by the late filings.

If necessary and for further clarification, I am requesting an opportunity to appear before you (via the Pilot Program for Probable Cause Hearings).

While I am not a lawyer, the facts of this situation are simple and straight forward enough to avoid the high costs and complications of engaging a lawyer. I spend a substantial amount of my savings and almost 2 years of my life in that quest to be a United States Senator, well knowing my odds. Now retired, I don't need to spend more time or money on that history. Therefore, I respectfully make this submission of my case directly to the Federal Election Commissioners.

Very Truly Yours,

  
2

## **CAMPAIGN 2004**

### **UNITED STATES SENATE RACE**

#### **FINANCES (see attachment 1):**

	<b>\$RAISED</b>	<b>\$SPENT</b>	<b>\$REMAINING</b> As of 31 December 04
<b>Senator Chris Dodd</b>	<b>\$7,097,118</b>	<b>\$5,667,015</b>	<b>\$2,342,800</b>
<b>Jack Orchulli</b>	<b>\$1,474,842</b>	<b>\$1,460,367</b>	<b>\$14,472</b>

#### **POINTS:**

1. If the Commission solely looks at Jack Orchulli's expenditures, you can say that these funds are significant. However, if you put the expenditures into an overall context of funds spent and name recognition, a sitting Senator, etc., clearly these expenditures proved to be insignificant.
2. Senator Dodd had 4.88X more funds available and outspent Jack Orchulli by 3.88X and still had substantial funds (\$MILLIONS) remaining after the election. My net filing some of the 24 hour filings (millionaire's rule) timely clearly had no impact or meaning for Senator Dodd: since the main purpose of the filing is to alert the Senator so that he can raise higher amounts for his campaign...clearly more funds were not needed.

#### **ELECTION RESULTS (see attachment 2):**

<b>Senator Chris Dodd</b>	<b>945,347</b>	<b>66.4%</b>
<b>Jack Orchulli</b>	<b>457,749</b>	<b>32.1%</b>
<b>Others</b>	<b>21,630</b>	<b>1.5%</b>

#### **CANDIDATE POLITICAL BACKGROUND:**

**Senator Chris Dodd (see attachment 2):** The Dodd 'BRAND' for over 50 years in Connecticut politics...

.Chris, a 3<sup>rd</sup> generation Connecticut Dodd

.Father (Thomas Dodd) a Connecticut Congressman in 1952, 54  
A U. S. Senator from 1959-71

.Chris: Congressman from 1975-80, U. S. Senator from 1980 to present

#### **Jack Orchulli:**

.born in New Jersey and lived in Connecticut for 10 years

.no political background or political involvement before running

For the U. S. Senate...totally unknown in politics and with the citizens/voters of Connecticut

**POINT:** With no name recognition and with relatively minimal funding, some late financial filings by Jack Orchulli were insignificant to this election.

**QUINNIPIAC UNIVERSITY POLLS  
2004 ELECTION  
(see attachments 4-8)**

**A June 4, 2004 poll showed Senator Dodd with a commanding 65% to 20% lead over Jack Orchulli who 87% of the voters hadn't heard enough about. (Attachment 4)**

**A September 30, 2004 poll shows Senator Dodd with a 69% to 24% lead over Jack Orchulli who 76% of the voters hadn't heard enough about. (Attachment 5)**

**Included in the September 30, 2004 Quinnipiac poll, were Senator Dodd's 'trends', going back years (attachments 6, 7, 8)...**

	<b>Approval</b>	<b>Disapproval</b>
<b>.23 Nov 04</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>20%</b>
<b>.30 Sept 04</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>21%</b>
<b>.19 Aug 04</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>22%</b>
<b>.30 June 04</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>17%</b>
<b>.20 Nov 03</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>22%</b>

**POINT: The efforts by the Jack Orchulli campaign had no impact on changing the outcome of this election, even minimally. Filing a few forms late, clearly had no meaning for the race or for Senator Dodd's financial needs and were insignificant.**

**OTHER FEDERAL RACES IN CONNECTICUT  
FINANCIAL COSTS**

**CONGRESSIONAL RACES: YEAR: 2004 (see attachment 9)**

**Congressional:**

**District 1**

John Larson	\$643,746
John Halesand	\$0

**District 2**

Rob Simmons	\$2,539,577
Joe Courtney	\$1,831,238

**District 3**

Rosa DeLauro	\$735,834
Richter Eber	\$ 20,798

**District 4**

Chris Shays	\$2,233,286
Diane Farrell	\$1,545,852

**District 5**

Nancy Johnson	\$2,282,416
Theresa Geratana	\$ 128,686

**UNITED STATES SENATE AND CONGRESSIONAL RACES:**

**YEAR: 2006 (see attachment 10)**

Senator Joe Lieberman	\$20,213,419
-----------------------	--------------

Ned Lamont	\$20,524,133
------------	--------------

**Congressional:**

**District 1**

John Larson	\$983,926
Scott MacLean	\$0

**District 2**

Rob Simmons	\$3,112,876
Joe Courtney	\$2,457,906

**District 3**

Rosa DeLauro	\$819,470
Joseph Vellano	\$0

**District 4**

Chris Shays	\$3,827,216
Diane Farrell	\$3,044,989

**District 5**

Nancy Johnson	\$4,055,866
Chris Murphy	\$2,534,953

**POINT:** The funds spent by me in the context of a U.S. Senate race were minimal and had no chance to impact that race, given the level of funding needed to run an effective race or to at the very least raise the voters' awareness of an unknown candidate.

[opensecrets.org](#)
[The Basics](#)
[Election Overview](#)
[Who Gives](#)
[Who Gets](#)
[News](#)
[Get Local](#)
[Databases](#)
[HOME](#)
[DONATE](#)
[SEARCH](#)

[Congress](#) | 
 [Congressional Committees](#) | 
 [Parties](#) | 
 [Presidential](#) | 
 [Congressional Races](#) | 
 527s |

[Summary Data](#)  
[Total Raised](#)  
[Quality of Disclosure](#)

[Geographic Data](#)  
[In- vs Out-of-State](#)  
[Top Metro Areas](#)  
[Top Zip Codes](#)

[Interest Groups](#)  
[Business / Labor / Ideological](#)  
[Split in PAC Contributions](#)  
[Sector Totals](#)  
[Top Industries](#)  
[Top Contributors](#)  
[Percent Coded](#)

[Other Connecticut Races](#)

[GO TO POLITICIAN](#)  
[\(USE LAST NAME\)](#)

OK

[FORMAT TO PRINT](#)

[opensecrets.org](#)



THE CENTER  
FOR RESPONSIVE  
POLITICS

## Total Raised and Spent

### 2004 RACE CONNECTICUT SENATE


**Christopher J. Dodd (D)** W/P/R  
 (58% of vote)

Raised \$7,087,118

Spent \$6,857,016

Cash on hand \$2,342,800

Last Report 12/31/2004



PACs \$2,228,204 (31%)  
 Individuals \$4,883,771 (68%)  
 Candidate \$4,000 (0%)  
 Other \$181,143 (3%)

**Jack C. Overhall (R)**  
 (32% of vote)

Raised \$1,474,842

Spent \$1,480,367

Cash on hand \$14,472

Last Report 12/31/2004



PACs \$800 (0%)  
 Individuals \$82,488 (4%)  
 Candidate \$1,388,141 (94%)  
 Other \$22,432 (2%)


**Leonard Rausch (L)**  
 (1% of vote)

Raised \$1,800

Spent \$0

Cash on hand \$1,800

Last Report 9/30/2004



PACs \$0 -  
 Individuals \$0 -  
 Candidate \$0 -  
 Other \$1,800 (100%)

**Timothy Kralins (R)**  
 No reports on record for this candidate

### OTHER CANDIDATES WHO RAN

**William J. Mauldin (R)**

\* Incumbent

NOTE All figures are for the 1 cycle, based on data as of Thursday, A to distribute please credit Responsive



Attachment - A

Congress.org

110

Google

Web @ congress.org Search

Home

Write Your Officials

ENTER ZIP CODE TO FIND

President & Vice Pres

Congress

Federal Agencies

Supreme Court

State Officials

Local Officials

Take Action on Issues

Soapbox Raise an Issue

Hand Deliver Your Letters

Read Letters to Leaders

Power Rankings

Vote Monitor

Search Recent Votes

Search Current Bills

Add Us to Your Web Site

Send to a Friend

Capitol Hill Basics

Media Guide

Books on Congress

Register to Vote

## Senator Christopher J. Dodd (D-CT)

8th-term Democrat from Connecticut



Life & Contact Info

Biography

Education

Work History

Political Career

Family

Immigration Reform

- Storms

Connecticut Library -

ORANGE

Mass's Budget -

Darien

Infectious Disease

and Border Control


- Salem

Connecticut Senate

Immigration Deal -

southington

more...



Click Here to Follow Us

## Power Rankings

Soapbox Commentary on Sen. Dodd

No current commentaries

(what's this? - past years)

## Cosponsorship Status

Click here to see Sen. Dodd's cosponsorship status on important legislation

## Contact Information

Web Site [dodd.senate.gov](http://dodd.senate.gov)

E-mail [Contact.Via.Web.Form](mailto:Contact.Via.Web.Form)

Washington Office

448 Russell Senate Office Building

Washington, D.C. 20510-0702

Phone (202) 224-2833

Fax (202) 224-1083

Main District Office

100 Great Meadow Rd

Wethersfield, CT 06109

Phone (860) 255-8940

Fax (860) 255-8988

## Background Information

Party Democrat

Residence East Haddam

Marital Status Married (Jacquie Cicco)

Prev Occupation Attorney

Prev Political Exp US House, 1975-80, US Senate,

1980-present

Education BA Providence College, 1968, JD University

of Louisville, 1972

Military USA, 1968-75

Birthdate 05/27/1944

Birthplace Wallingford, CT

Religion Catholic

## Other Information

Term 8th

First Elected 1980

Percentage in Last Election 69%

Major Opponent Jack Orshul

Committees

• Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs, Chair

• Foreign Relations

• Health, Education, Labor and Pensions

• Joint Committee on the Library

• Rules and Administration

## PAC Contributions

2005-2006 Election Cycle

2003-2004 Election Cycle

powered by DigitalAdvantage ©2007

AdLib

Diven  
Mag

Get the  
Diversity  
Free for  
Gov En


www.Dive

Admstr

28044204100



# Attachment 2 B



## Thomas J. Dodd

Senator Thomas J. Dodd devoted his life to public service, the rule of law, and the rights of the oppressed. He was born in Norwich, Conn., graduated from Providence College, and received a law degree from Yale University. In 1934 he married Grace Murphy of Westerly, Rhode Island. The couple became the parents of six children: Thomas J., Jr., Carolyn, Jeremy, Martin, Christopher, and Nicholas.

In 1935, after serving in the FBI, Dodd was appointed State Director of the National Youth Administration. In 1938 he became a Special Assistant to the Attorney General and during World War II Dodd prosecuted espionage and sabotage cases and industrial fraud by American companies supplying military hardware. When the Allied Powers convened an international military tribunal in Nuremberg, Germany, to prosecute Nazi war criminals in 1945, Dodd was appointed Vice-Chairman of the Review Board and later Executive Trial Counsel. Dodd helped shape many of the strategies and policies at the trials. He concentrated on proving the charge of conspiracy to wage aggressive war, the horrors of the concentration camp system, and the activities of Nazi organizations like the Gestapo and SS.



After his return to the U.S., Dodd practiced law in Hartford and became active in Democratic Party politics. He was elected to Congress from the First District in 1952 and 1954. During his two terms in the Senate from 1959 to 1971, he championed gun control legislation, supported the civil rights initiatives of Presidents Kennedy and Johnson, and worked to protect children through efforts to curb violence on television and stem the traffic of illegal drugs. Dodd vigorously opposed Soviet Communism and was outspoken in his support for the captive nations of Eastern Europe. He won a second Senate term in 1964 and ran unsuccessfully for re-election as an independent in 1970. Thomas J. Dodd died at his Old Lyme home on May 24, 1971.

To learn more about Thomas J. Dodd, visit the [Dodd Research Center](http://dodd.senate.gov/index.php?q=node/3129/print) at the University of Connecticut.







[ Home ] [ 2006 ] [ Election Results ] [ Election Info ] [ Weblog ] [ Guestbook ] [ Email ] [ Forum ] [ News ] [ Who's Who ] [ Links ] [ Site Info ] [ Search ]

## 2004 Senatorial General Election Results - Connecticut

Note: This Google advertisement links below may advocate political positions that this site does not endorse.

www.usselections.org

Senatorial Candidate	Political Party	Popular Vote
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Christopher Dodd	Democratic	945,347 66.35%
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Jack O'Connell	Republican	457,749 32.13%
<input type="checkbox"/> Timothy A. Knabbs	Concerned Citizens	12,442 0.87%
<input type="checkbox"/> Leonard H. Rasch	Libertarian	9,188 0.64%



# QUINNIPIAC UNIVERSITY

## Attachment 4

[Print this page](#)   [Close window](#)

### Release Detail

**June 4, 2004 - Kerry Tops Bush By 10 Points In Connecticut, Quinnipiac University Poll Finds, Voters Would Accept Massachusetts Gay Marriages**

Democratic challenger John Kerry tops President George W. Bush 46 - 36 percent among Connecticut voters, according to a Quinnipiac University poll released today. Independent candidate Ralph Nader gets 8 percent.

President Bush gets a negative 39 - 55 percent approval rating, the independent Quinnipiac (KWIN-uh-pe-ack) University poll finds, his lowest ever in Connecticut.

By a 52 - 42 percent margin, Connecticut voters say going to war in Iraq was the wrong thing to do. Voters say 66 - 29 percent that abuse of prisoners in Iraq is a major issue and unacceptable, not something that routinely happens in war.

Offered several choices, 28 percent of voters say the economy is the most important issue in deciding how they will vote for President, followed by 25 percent who list Iraq, 17 percent who say terrorism and 10 percent who say health care.

Voters gave Bush a negative 29 - 69 percent approval for his handling of the economy and a negative 37 - 57 percent score for his handling of Iraq. The President gets a 61 - 43 percent approval for his handling of terrorism.

"President Bush's approval rating has dropped to an all-time low in Connecticut. The President gets low marks for his handling of the economy and the situation in Iraq which has helped Sen. Kerry build a 10-point lead," said Quinnipiac University Poll Director Douglas Schwartz, Ph.D. **Same-Sex Unions**

While Connecticut voters support civil unions for same-sex couples 69 - 35 percent, they oppose gay marriage 60 - 45 percent. At the same time, voters oppose 65 - 30 percent amending the U.S. Constitution to ban same-sex marriages.

And if a same-sex couple legally married in Massachusetts moves to Connecticut, that marriage should be recognized as legal, voters say 60 - 45 percent.

"Connecticut is more liberal than the rest of the nation when it comes to civil unions for same-sex couples. While the nation opposes civil unions for same-sex couples, a clear majority of Connecticut voters support them. Voters continue to narrowly oppose gay marriage, but by the same narrow margin, they think gay marriages in neighboring Massachusetts should be accepted here," Dr. Schwartz said. **Senate Race**

Democrats U.S. Sen. Christopher Dodd holds a commanding 65 - 20 percent lead over Republican challenger Jack Orchuik. Sen. Dodd has a 49 - 17 percent favorability rating, with 20 percent mixed and 13 percent who say they haven't heard enough to form an opinion. For Orchuik, 67 percent of Connecticut voters say they haven't heard enough to form an opinion.

While Dodd enjoys a 59 - 22 percent approval, Sen. Joseph Lieberman gets a 63 - 24 percent approval.

"Connecticut voters have welcomed Sen. Lieberman back from the Presidential campaign trail. Lieberman has the highest approval rating of any elected official in Connecticut, New York, New Jersey or Pennsylvania. He always had been popular in

28044204103

# QUINNIPIAC UNIVERSITY

## Attachment 5

[Print this page](#)   [Close window](#)

### Release Detail

**September 30, 2004 - Kerry Up By 6 Points In Connecticut, Quinnipiac University Poll Finds, Voters Say Debates Are Important**

Democratic challenger John Kerry tops President George W. Bush 60 - 44 percent among Connecticut likely voters, according to a Quinnipiac University poll released today. Independent candidate Ralph Nader gains 2 percent in this tally which includes undecided voters leaning toward a candidate.

Sen. Kerry has a 47 - 38 percent lead over President Bush, with 4 percent for Nader, among Connecticut registered voters. This compares to a 46 - 38 percent Kerry lead in an August 18 poll by the independent Quinnipiac (KWIN-uh-pi-ack) University.

Connecticut voters disapprove 63 - 42 percent of the job Bush is doing. Voters say 66 - 30 percent going to war in Iraq was the wrong thing to do, one of the strongest anti-war sentiments in any poll conducted by Quinnipiac University.

Looking at presidential qualities, voters say

- 66 - 30 percent that Bush acts more like a leader than Kerry,
- 67 - 32 percent that Bush does a better job explaining what he will do as president.

"The last time a Democratic challenger faced a sitting Republican President was 1992, when Bill Clinton beat George H.W. Bush in Connecticut by 8 points. Given the history, one could say that Sen. Kerry is on track," said Quinnipiac University Poll Director Douglas Schwartz, Ph.D.

"The race in Connecticut is all about Bush. Only 33 percent of Kerry supporters are voting mainly for the Democrat, while 63 percent are voting against Bush."

Offered four choices, 30 percent of Connecticut voters say the war in Iraq is most important in deciding how they will vote, with 28 percent who list the economy, 24 percent who list terrorism and 16 percent who list health care.

Looking at how the candidates will handle these issues

- Voters split 46 - 46 percent on who would do a better job in Iraq,
- Voters say 60 - 38 percent that Kerry will do a better job on the economy,
- Voters say 62 - 36 percent that Bush will do a better job on terrorism,
- Voters say 66 - 32 percent that Kerry will do a better job on health care.

A total of 91 percent of Connecticut voters are "very likely" or "somewhat likely" to watch the presidential debates, as 28 percent say the debates are "very important" in deciding how they will vote, with 34 percent who say "somewhat important," 18 percent who say "not too important" and 21 percent who say "not important at all." Senate Race

Democratic U.S. Sen. Christopher Dodd leads Republican challenger Jack O'Rourke 69 - 24 percent among likely voters, including lawmakers.

Sen. Dodd has a 64 - 21 percent job approval rating and a 62 - 14 percent favorability rating, with 22 percent mixed and 11 percent who say they haven't heard enough to form an opinion. For O'Rourke, 78 percent of Connecticut voters say they haven't heard enough to form an opinion.

Attachment  
6

28044204105

May 23, 2002	65	23	12
Feb 14, 2002	64	21	15
Dec 19, 2001	71	19	10
Apr 19, 2001	71	22	7
Feb 21, 2001	71	25	9
Sep 21, 2000	80	24	6
Jul 20, 2000	74	15	11
Jun 21, 2000	72	14	14
Apr 13, 2000	71	14	14
Feb 18, 2000	73	14	13
Oct 14, 1999	73	16	11
Jun 24, 1999	71	19	10
May 5, 1999	67	19	14
Oct 22, 1998	70	16	14
Oct 2, 1998	71	17	12
Jun 25, 1998	68	16	16
Apr 2, 1998	69	18	15
Feb 19, 1998	69	16	15
Nov 19, 1997	69	17	13
Oct 9, 1997	71	18	11
Jul 15, 1997	72	14	15
Feb 25, 1997	66	18	16
Jul 3, 1996	68	18	14
Mar 27, 1996	66	17	17
Apr 20, 1995	63	19	18
Aug 22, 1994	55	23	22
Jul 19, 1994	58	16	26

TREND Do you approve or disapprove of the way Christopher Dodd is handling his job as United States Senator?

	App	Dis	DK/NA
Nov 23, 2004	69	20	11
Sep 30, 2004	64	21	14
Aug 19, 2004	60	22	18
Jun 30, 2004	66	17	18
Jun 4, 2004	59	22	19
Nov 20, 2003	60	22	17
Oct 10, 2003	62	20	18
Jul 31, 2003	58	20	22
May 1, 2003	52	16	31
Mar 14, 2003	57	22	21
Oct 2, 2002	58	21	21
Aug 1, 2002	61	20	19
Jul 31, 2002	61	20	19
May 23, 2002	61	18	21
Feb 14, 2002	52	17	31
Dec 19, 2001	63	20	18
Apr 19, 2001	71	16	13
Feb 21, 2001	68	15	16
Sep 21, 2000	66	20	14
Jul 20, 2000	64	24	12
Jun 21, 2000	64	21	14
Apr 13, 2000	63	22	15
Feb 18, 2000	64	21	16

**Attachment 7****30 Sept 04**

	Tot	Rep	Dem	Ind	Men	Wom	Veterans
Dodd	66%	44%	90%	65%	62%	70%	53%
Orchull	21	46	3	21	26	18	35
SOME ELSE (VOL)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WLDN'T VOTE (VOL)	1	-	-	1	1	1	1
DK/NA	11	10	7	13	11	12	11

	Tot	Fairfld	Hrtford	Ltchfld	NewHvn	NewLon
Dodd	66%	67%	70%	63%	67%	60%
Orchull	21	18	21	18	23	24
SOME ELSE (VOL)	-	-	-	1	-	-
WLDN'T VOTE (VOL)	1	1	-	7	1	1
DK/NA	11	13	9	10	9	16

11 If the election for senator were being held today, and the candidates were Christopher Dodd the Democrat and Jack Orchull the Republican for whom would you vote?

Likely  
Voters

Dodd	66%
Orchull	23
SOME ELSE (VOL)	-
WLDN'T VOTE (VOL)	-
DK/NA	10

11 If the election for senator were being held today, and the candidates were Christopher Dodd the Democrat and Jack Orchull the Republican for whom would you vote? (If undecided q11) As of today, do you lean more to Dodd or Orchull? This table includes 'leaners'

Likely plus  
Leaners

Dodd	69%
Orchull	24
SOME ELSE (VOL)	-
WLDN'T VOTE (VOL)	-
DK/NA	7

12 In your opinion of George W Bush favorable, unfavorable, mixed, or haven't you heard enough about him?

	Tot	Rep	Dem	Ind	Men	Wom	Veterans
Favorable	36%	76%	12%	29%	39%	33%	48%
Unfavorable	45	10	74	48	44	47	38
Mixed	17	12	13	21	17	18	14
Hvn't hrd enough	1	1	-	2	-	2	-
REFUSED	1	-	1	1	1	1	1

28044204106

ATTACHMENT 8

30 Sept 04

Bush	44
SMOKE ELSE (VOL)	-
WLDN'T VOTE	-
DK/NA	4

7 (If candidate choice q6) is your mind made up, or do you think you might change your mind before the election?

	Kerry Vtr q6	Bush Vtr q6
Made up	84%	87%
Might change	15	13
DK/NA	1	1

8a (If Kerry' q6) is your vote more for Kerry or more against Bush?

	Kerry Vtr q6
For Kerry	33%
Against Bush	63
DK/NA	4

8b (If Bush' q6) is your vote more for Bush or more against Kerry?

	Bush Vtr q6
For Bush	75%
Against Kerry	22
DK/NA	2

10 How much attention have you been paying to the election campaign for United States Senator from Connecticut - a lot, some, only a little, or none at all?

	Tot	Rep	Dem	Ind	Men	Wom	Veterans
A lot	13%	11%	17%	11%	13%	13%	16%
Some	38	37	42	38	34	42	40
Only a little	36	41	31	36	39	33	35
None at all	13	12	10	15	14	12	9
DK/NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

11 If the election for senator were being held today, and the candidates were Christopher Dodd the Democrat and Jack Orlowski the Republican for whom would you vote?

(\* Number of respondents is 75 or less in the Litchfield subgroup. Additional caution should be taken when interpreting the findings of this subgroup because of the larger margin of error.)

28044204107

Attachment 9

[opensecrets.org](#)
[The Basics](#)
[Election Overview](#)
[Who Gives](#)
[Who Gets](#)
[News](#)
[Get Local](#)
[Databases](#)
[HOME](#)
[DONATE](#)
[SEARCH](#)

[CONNECTICUT](#)
[Federal-Level Data](#)
[State-Level Data](#)

[Connecticut Money Summary](#)  
[Congressional Delegation](#)  
[Election Races](#)  
[Top Contributors](#)  
[National Party Transfers](#)  
[Top Metro Areas and Zip Codes](#)  
[Top In-State vs. Out-of-State](#)  
[Contributions by County](#)  
[Presidential Totals](#)  
[Presidential by Metro Area](#)

STATES HOME

Select a State

FORMAT TO PRINT

opensecrets.org



THE CENTER  
FOR RESPONSIVE  
POLITICS

## Connecticut

### CONGRESSIONAL RACES IN 2004

Select an Election cycle **2004**

(Date of Primary Tuesday, August 10, 2004, and only winners are displayed below)

Race	All Candidates	Amount Raised
Senate	Christopher J. Dodd (D) * Jack G. Orsholt (R) Leonard Rausch (L) Timothy Knibbs (D)	\$7,087,118 \$1,474,842 \$1,800 \$0
District 01	John B. Larson (D) * John Halseid (R)	\$843,748 \$0
District 02	Rob Simmons (R) * James M. Sullivan (D) David Rae Lyon (I)	\$2,639,577 \$1,031,238 \$4,380
District 03	Rosa L. DeLauro (D) * Richard "Rick" Elser (R) Ralph Perucci (D)	\$735,034 \$20,788 \$0
District 04	Christopher Shays (R) * Dane Goss Farrell (D)	\$2,233,288 \$1,545,082
District 05	Nancy L. Johnson (R) * Theresa Gerslana (D) Wiley Moore (D) Fernando Ramirez (D)	\$2,282,416 \$128,888 \$0 \$0

\* Incumbent

28044204108

openstates.org

- Connecticut
- Money Summary
- Congressional Delegation
- Election Races
- Top Contributors
- National Party Transfers
- Top Metro Areas and Zip Codes
- Top In-State vs Out-of-State
- Contributions by County
- Presidential Totals
- Presidential by Metro Area

STATES HOUSE

Select a State

FORMS TO PRINT

openstates.org



THE CENTER  
FOR RESPONSIVE  
POLITICS

[The Basics] [Election Overview] [Who Gives] [Who Gets] [News] [Get Local] [Databases]  
CONNECTICUT Federal-Level Data | State-Level Data

### Connecticut

#### CONGRESSIONAL RACES IN 2008

Select an Election cycle [2008 ▾]

(Date of Primary Tuesday, August 05, 2008, and only winners are displayed below)

Race	All Candidates	Amount Raised
Senate	Joe Lieberman (I) * Withdraw Ned Lamont (D) Alan Schlessinger (R) Ralph Fierro (D) Thursday Kubisa (D)	\$20,213,419 \$221,019 \$2,305 \$0
District 01	John B. Lerman (D) * Withdraw Scott Gordon Mackinnon (R)	\$403,028 \$0
District 02	Rob Simmons (R) * Joe Courtney (D) Withdraw	\$3,112,878 \$2,487,808
District 03	Russ L. Delano (D) * Withdraw David Stewart (D) Joseph Vellano (R)	\$0 \$0 \$0
District 04	Christopher Blum (D) * Withdraw Diane Goss Parrell (D) Philip Maynard (L)	\$3,827,216 \$3,044,908 \$40,857
District 05	Nancy L. Johnson (R) * Chris Murphy (D) Withdraw	\$4,068,888 \$2,884,983

\* Incumbent

HOME | DONATE | SEARCH

WHO'S MY REPT

Enter your zip code

[Go]

OFF-SITE LINKS TO  
MORE CONNECTICUT  
DATA

Official Congress  
Finance Database

Congressional Contributions  
Data (at the National  
Institute on Money in  
State Politics)